

JAN 2 1985

RECEIVED

BEFORE THE ARIZONA BOARD OF OSTEOPATHIC
EXAMINERS IN MEDICINE AND SURGERY

In the Matter of)

ROBERT B. WICKMAN, D.O.)

Holder of License No. 687 for the)
Practice of Osteopathic Medicine)
and Surgery in the State of)
Arizona)ORDER OF REVOCATION
OF LICENSE

The above captioned matter came before the Arizona Board of Osteopathic Examiners in Medicine and Surgery (Board) for hearing on January 11, 1985, pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 32-1801 et seq. and §§ 41-1001 et seq. The State of Arizona was represented by Virginia Balmes Whitehead, Assistant Attorney General. Repondent ROBERT B. WICKMAN, D.O. was not present and no one appeared on his behalf. The Board having considered all the matters presented and being fully advised, makes the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

I

The Arizona Board of Osteopathic Examiners in Medicine and Surgery is the duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of the practice of osteopathic medicine and surgery in the State of Arizona.

II

On February 17, 1964, ROBERT B. WICKMAN, D.O. was issued License No. 687 for the practice of osteopathic medicine and surgery in the State of Arizona.

1 III

2 In June and July, 1983 ROBERT B. WICKMAN, D.O.
3 maintained a referring and fee dividing relationship with one
4 Ken Anderson, an illegal practitioner of medicine.

5 IV

6 On or about July 11, 1983 ROBERT B. WICKMAN, D.O.
7 fraudulently represented to Sandra Ramsey, an undercover
8 investigator that a solution of L-Arginine (identified as
9 Tumorex) and DMSO was an effective and successfully curative
10 treatment for cancer.

11 V

12 On or about July 11, 1983 ROBERT B. WICKMAN, D.O.
13 offered to and embarked on a course of conduct that, if carried
14 to its intended conclusion, would have resulted in the treatment
15 of Sandra Ramsey by injection with a solution of DMSO and
16 Tumorex, without first taking a history, conducting a physical
17 examination, or arriving at a diagnosis of any medical condition
18 in Ms. Ramsey.

19 VI

20 On or about July 11, 1983 ROBERT B. WICKMAN, D.O.
21 accepted a fee of \$2,500.00 in cash in return for an agreement
22 to treat Sandra Ramsey with a solution of DMSO and Tumorex.

23 VII

24 On or about July 11, 1983 ROBERT B. WICKMAN, D.O.
25 offered to provide Sandra Ramsey with a cash receipt made out as
26

1 if received from the "Basic Bible Church" for the cash payment
2 she tendered for treatment with DMSO and Tumorex.

3 VIII

4 On or about June and July of 1983 ROBERT B. WICKMAN,
5 D.O. conspired with one Ken Anderson to engage in the violations
6 described above in Paragraphs III through VII.

7 IX

8 ROBERT B. WICKMAN, D.O. failed to comply with the
9 Board's request to appear at the informal interview held on
10 October 19, 1984 regarding the use of colonics to treat rectal
11 bleeding when a diagnosis had not been made and the use of
12 "Chelapex" oral chelatin treatments without proper examination
13 and diagnosis. The informal interview originally scheduled for
14 the Board's August 1984 meeting was continued to the October
15 meeting at the request of Dr. Wickman's attorney. The Board
16 received no indication of the reason Dr. Wickman or a
17 representative did not appear at the October meeting.

18 X

19 ROBERT B. WICKMAN, D.O. failed to provide the Board
20 with requested information concerning "Cheleplex" treatment.

21 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

22 XI

23 A.R.S. § 31-1855(M) provides that any osteopathic
24 physician who after a hearing is found by the Board to be guilty
25 of unprofessional conduct shall be subject to any one or more of
26 the following: civil penalty, censure, probation, suspension of

1 license, or revocation of license, and for such period of time,
2 or permanently, and under such conditions as the Board deems
3 appropriate for the protection of the public health and safety
4 and just in the circumstances.

5 XII

6 The violation described in Paragraph III constitutes
7 unprofessional conduct as defined by A.R.S. § 32-1854(A)(11).

8 XIII

9 The violation described in Paragraph IV constitutes
10 unprofessional conduct as defined by A.R.S. § 32-1854(A)(16).

11 XIV

12 The violation described in Paragraph V constitutes
13 unprofessional conduct as defined by A.R.S. § 32-1854(A)(20).

14 XV

15 The violation described in Paragraph VI constitutes
16 unprofessional conduct as defined by A.R.S. § 32-1854(A)(28) &
17 (20).

18 XVI

19 The violation described in Paragraph VII constitutes
20 unprofessional conduct as defined by A.R.S. § 32-1854(A)(18).

21 XVII

22 The violation described in Paragraph VIII constitutes
23 unprofessional conduct as defined by A.R.S. § 32-1854(A)(21).

24
25 . . .

26 . . .

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

XVIII

The violation described in Paragraphs IX and X constitutes unprofessional conduct as defined by A.R.S. § 32-1854(A)(14).

XIX

In light of the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, grounds exist to permanently revoke the osteopathic medical license of ROBERT B. WICKMAN, D.O.

ORDER

Upon motion duly made, seconded and unanimously carried, License No. 687 for the practice of osteopathic medicine in the State of Arizona held by ROBERT B. WICKMAN, D.O. is hereby permanently revoked.

ENTERED this 24th day of January, 1985.

ARIZONA STATE BOARD OF OSTEOPATHIC
EXAMINERS IN MEDICINE AND SURGERY

By _____
L. GEORGE HERSHEY, D.O.
President

and

By Mary Tucker
MARY TUCKER
Executive Director

6022A-10